



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,055	09/26/2003	Bharat T. Doshi	Doshi 58-10-27-19-36	1226
46850 7590 07/06/2009 MENDELSON, DRUCKER, & ASSOCIATES, P.C. 1500 JOHN F. KENNEDY BLVD., SUITE 405 PHILADELPHIA, PA 19102				
EXAMINER				
HO, CHUONG T				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2419				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
07/06/2009		PAPER		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

### Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/673,055

**Applicant(s)**

DOSHI ET AL.

**Examiner**

CHUONG T. HO

**Art Unit**

2419

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) CHUONG T. HO.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Edward J. Meisarosh (Registration No.57,463).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 30 June 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes

e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 20,24.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 6,882,627.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: the applicant argues that the finality of the action is improper since there is a new ground of rejection, the applicant's arguments appears to be persuasive. the examiner request the applicant to submit the argument in the official reply to final action..

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/CHUONG T HO/  
Examiner, Art Unit 2419